

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/059,311	01/31/2002	Kyung Chul Woo	3449-0190P	5488	
2292	7590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST	EWART KOLASCH	JAGAN, MIRELLYS			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
I ALLS CIT	JRC11, VII 22040 07	,	2859		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	10/059,311	WOO ET AL.	
Navious Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mirellys Jagan	2859	\mathcal{N}
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectio IE FINAL REJECTION. S	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.1	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appro originally set in the final C	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	;.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	gen		
- - ,	10	•	
	Diego Gutier	TOZ	

Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Genter 2800

"Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Amendment filed 11/5/04 amends independent claim 1 by removing limitations and adding the limitations "disc-shaped" upper side and "wherein neither the water gauge chamber nor the hollow chamber cap project below a bottom side of the outer tub" to the claim; amends independent claim 3 by removing limitations and adding the limitation "disc-shaped" upper side to the claim; amends independent claim 5 by removing limitations and adding the limitations "truncated conical-shaped" portion of the outer tub, and the cap being located "in a position such that an upper surface of the hollow chamber cap makes no contact with the cylindrical side or the truncated conical-shaped portion" of the outer tub; and amends dependent claim 9 by adding limitations describing a particular shape of the outer tub. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues that will require further consideration and/or search since it presents claims having limitations that were not present in the finally rejected claims.